Desires (and other attitudes) can be non-specific. You can want a pillow without wanting any particular pillow. In such cases, your desire is satisfied only if you get a particular pillow but for any particular pillow you may get, you didn't want that pillow. In this paper, I argue non-specificity isn't just a feature of the mental. In particular, I argue that dispositions can be non-specific. Simplifying somewhat, the idea is that a vase can be disposed to break in some but no particular way. Sure enough, if the disposition were to manifest, the vase would break in a particular way, but for any particular way of breaking that could be a manifestation of the disposition, the vase isn't disposed to break in that way. I further argue that the non-specificity of certain dispositions is more radical than it may appear and best explained by a teleological account of dispositions.